

RE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION SON GINAL

Arizona Utility Investors Association

2100 N. Central, Ste. 210 P.O. Box 34805 Phoenix, AZ 85067

Tel: (602) 257-9200 Fax: (602) 254-4300

Email: info@auia.org Web Site: www.auia.org

A. MUNDELL
CHAIRMAN
JIM IRVIN
COMMISSIONER
MARC SPITZER
COMMISSIONER

7002 JUL -1 P 3:08

IN THE MATTER OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE)	DOCKET NO.
COMPANY'S REQUEST FOR A VARIANCE OF)	E-01345A-01-0822
CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS OF A.A.C. R14-2-1606	
IN THE MATTER OF THE GENERIC PROCEEDINGS)	DOCKET NO.
CONCERNING ELECTRIC RESTRUCTURING.	E-00000A-02-0051
IN THE MATTER OF TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER)	
COMPANY'S APPLICATION FOR A VARIANCE OF)	
CERTAIN ELECTRIC COMPETITION RULES)	DOCKET NO.
COMPLIANCE DATES.	E-01933A-02-0069
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF)	
TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY FOR)	DOCKET NO.
APPROVAL OF ITS STRANDED COST RECOVERY.	E-01933A-98-0471
IN THE MATTER OF THE GENERIC PROCEEDING)	
CONCERNING THE ARIZONA INDEPENDENT)	DOCKET NO.
SCHEDULING ADMINISTRATOR)	E-00000A-01-0630

AUIA'S COMMENTS ON STAFF'S LIST OF TRACK B ISSUES

In response to the Procedural Order of June 20, 2002, the Arizona Utility Investors Association (AUIA) hereby files its comments on the list of Track B issues submitted by the Commission Staff on May 31, 2002.

AUIA has only one substantive comment, to wit:

AUIA is one party that believes the question of utility (UDC) divestiture (transfer) of generating assets is linked inextricably to the question of competitive solicitation, including bidding, to meet the requirements of standard offer customers.

During the recently completed hearing on Track A issues, the Staff's policy witness, Mathew Rowell, testified under cross examination that:

• Any UDC generation assets that are not divested, either by choice or by Commission order, would remain under cost of DOCKETED service regulation.

JUL - 1 2002

17

18

1

DOCKETED BY

1 • The Staff does not intend for any competitively bid merchant 2 generation to displace generation assets that are under cost of service 3 regulation. 4 Staff apparently recognizes that a competitive solicitation that would 5 dismember a UDC's rate base would lead to a regulatory quagmire. It follows 6 that no bidding process that would have the effect of displacing rate-based 7 generation should take place, or have an effective date, prior to the time 8 when a UDC would have the opportunity to transfer its assets. 9 Presumably, the decisions reached in the Track A proceeding will 10 determine the terms and conditions under which a UDC may transfer its 11 generation assets to an affiliate. The procedural order in this proceeding 12 recognizes that the Track B schedule beyond July 25 is dependent in part on 13 the results of Track A. 14 Nevertheless, the Track B issues should be made to recognize that the 15 scope of competitive bidding will be influenced by divestiture, and its 16 implementation - if it encompasses anything more than incremental load 17 growth -- must be synchronized with the utilities' ability to divest under the 18 Commission's rules. 19 20 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED THIS 1ST DAY OF JULY, 2002. 21 22 23 24 WALTER W. MEEK, PRESIDENT 25

26

1 2	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
3 4 5	Original and eighteen (18) copies of these Comments were filed this 29th day of May, 2002, with:
6 7 8 9	Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
10 11 12 13 14	Copies of the referenced Notice were hand-delivered this 1st day of July, 2002, to:
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	William A. Mundell, Chairman Hercules Dellas, Esq. James M. Irvin, Commissioner Patrick Black, Esq. Marc Spitzer, Commissioner Paul Walker, Esq. Christopher Kempley, Esq., Legal Division Ernest Johnson, Esq., Utilities Division Lyn Farmer, Esq., Hearing Division
24 25 26 27	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007
28 29 30 31	Copies of this Notice were faxed or mailed this 1st day of July, 2002, to: Appropriate parties of record
32 33 34 35 36	Walter W. Meek